

World Association for Transport Animal Welfare and Studies (TAWS)
**The challenge of improving transport animal welfare in the world:
ways forward**

Workshop held 24 April 2003, Silsoe Research Institute, UK

REPORT OF DISCUSSIONS RELATING TO METHODOLOGY

Group members:

Dave O'Neill (rapporteur), Clive Woodham, Laura Bowen, Joy Pritchard, Roger Conan, Anne Pearson

Methodology for whom and for what?

- a) for ANIMAL WELFARE ORGANISATIONS
 - i) for raising funds?
 - ii) for running (good) projects?
 - iii) for collaboration between ORGANISATIONS?

Option i) not pursued

- ii) Sequence of steps based on “Brooke approach” as described this morning
 - evaluation and being self-critical are key elements

This approach is widely accepted elsewhere and would assist in raising funds because it would map on to approaches favoured by (larger) donors (eg DFID). However be aware that the “Poverty Agenda” dominates the objectives of many of the larger donors and the linkages between animal welfare and the reduction of poverty must be made very clear.

- need for multi-disciplinarity
- helps link demand criteria and so assists identification of more project / funding opportunities
- it is essential to have identified in-country demand
- it is important to have an in-country (project) champion

- iii) Collaboration between ORGANISATIONS
 - decision-makers attend meetings (what incentives would make them attend this meeting?)
 - meeting(s) of senior staff to discuss collaboration, uniformity of approach
 - development of “Corporate Identity” without loss of individuality

There is some evidence that the “Charitable” organisations are now attempting to work together in Iraq

- **WHY**
- is it because of the high profile situation?
- what would be the key ingredient(s) elsewhere?

Can TAWS influence any of these processes? if so, *HOW?*